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Abstract. The current paper develops an analysis on the degree of business cycle convergence of the new member states of the EU towards the Euro area core (Germany, France, Italy, Belgium, Netherlands and Luxembourg) during 1996 – 2010.

Unlike the previous research, the study takes into consideration the similarity of cycles, and not their synchronization. Furthermore, from the methodological point of view, it explores the use of survey indicators in the analysis of the cycles, thus in addition to the traditional approach that employs the GDP. The results obtained through clusterization show that the degree of convergence towards Euro area core remains modest.
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1. Introduction

The study of the economic convergence has received particular attention in the literature, especially within the European Union (EU) integration process. The research has focused on the issue of real convergence, which was defined by De Coevering (2003) as a two side process: on the one hand, the tendency to equalization of incomes and development levels, and, on the other hand, the tendency to attain a level of similarity between business cycles, more exactly the cyclical convergence on which the present paper focuses. The analysis of this type of convergence has become necessary in the context of the unique European currency and of the common monetary policy defined by the European Central Bank.

In the study of cyclical convergence, the majority of the empirical papers have concentrated exclusively on one property of the business cycles, namely synchronization. According to this property, the countries with strong links regarding the concordance and the correlations of business cycles should bear lower costs when joining a monetary union than those with less synchronized business cycles.

Even though it cannot be denied the fact that synchronization of business cycles is a relevant characteristic, it should be mentioned that this represents a necessary condition, but not sufficient in order to determine whether business cycles are similar enough with a view to assessing the costs of a monetary union. If the shape of the business cycles is different, a unique monetary policy applied to a monetary union would prove to be inadequate for all the participating countries.

For this reason, this research paper aims to study the similarity of business cycles, and not their degree of synchronization. The study focuses on countries of Central and Eastern Europe (CEE) which joined the EU in 2004, respectively 2007, and analyzes the degree of similarity of business cycles in these countries towards the core of the Euro area. While the papers conducted up to present employed the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) as a relevant indicator to evaluate the degree of similarity between business cycles, the present paper extends the research area by using indicators based on surveys rather than GDP. The time period of the analysis is between 1996 and 2010.

The article is organized into four sections, as follows: the synthesis of the literature is provided in Section 2, the proposed research methodology in Section 3, the results obtained in Section 4, and Section 5 concludes.
2. Literature review

Up until now, the similarity of business cycle characteristics among the European countries has not received the required scrutiny. The majority of the studies focused only on the description of the cycles. There are also authors such as Camacho, Perez and Saiz (2006) who study the similarity of business cycles and extend the analysis to the shape of the cycles. They provide at least four contributions to the literature: they provide a solid statistical framework to analyze the similarity of business cycle characteristics, by applying an adequate model for short data series; they study the business cycle characteristics of the new EU member states; they have a new statistical approach for the analysis and comparison of business cycles, by employing clusterization techniques of countries with similar characteristics of business cycles; and they are pioneers in terms of establishing a relationship between the distances in the business cycle characteristics of two countries and the distances in the synchronization degree. It is also interesting the fact that through clusterization the authors prove that there is not a single business cycle at the European level, in terms of business cycle similarity.

Based on the clusterization of countries according to the similarity of business cycle characteristics conducted by Camacho, Perez and Saiz (2006), Beyaert and Garcia-Solanes (2009) have explored the influence of business cycle phases from countries with similar business cycle characteristics on the real convergence between these countries. The results show that the convergence process is not uniform across the business cycles. In their analysis regarding the characteristics of business cycles, the authors employ the GDP usual indicator.

In the literature, there has also been a new approach to business cycles towards their analysis through the survey indicators. This approach aims to overcome the shortcomings of the GDP and industrial production indicators, traditionally employed for this purpose.

As regards GDP, even though it is considered as the best macroeconomic aggregate, it has the disadvantage that the data series are not available on long term for an important number of countries, unlike the industrial production. However, the use of industrial production is probably not the best option taking into consideration the fact that the sector accounts for less than 20% of the Euro area GDP. Then, industrial production is more volatile than aggregate production.

Furthermore, Gayer and Weiss (2006) have emphasized some important disadvantages in the use of these statistical data. Among them there is the need to apply filtering techniques and the dependency of business cycle properties on their way of dating. By comparison, the survey indicators have a genuine cyclical character and are not subject to long term trend, and therefore the arbitrary methods of decomposition in trend and cyclical component are not necessary.
There are currently empirical studies in the literature that approach comparatively the business cycles, through usual statistical indicators and survey indicators. The major conclusion has supported the potential of these indicators to be employed in this type of analysis.

As compared to this literature background, the current research can contribute significantly. Firstly, unlike most of the studies until now, the paper focuses on the analysis of business cycle similarity and not on their synchronization, a broadly debated topic so far. Secondly, some new approaches are explored in terms of indicators that can be employed in the analysis of business cycles. More specifically, we take into account survey indicators, in this case the Economic Sentiment Indicator (ESI) computed by the European Commission. Thirdly, the degree of similarity between business cycle characteristics is assessed through clusterization, a method with limited applicability in this field so far.

3. Research methodology

The current study presents a quantitative analysis on the degree of the similarity of business cycles between CEE countries and Euro area core. We have included 16 EU countries in the study, 10 of them belonging to CEE group – and being referred to by EU-10 – (Romania, Bulgaria, Slovakia, Slovenia, Estonia, Lithuania, Latvia, Hungary, Czech Republic, Poland), and the other six being Euro area members. The latter ones make up the core of the Economic and Monetary Union (EMU): Germany, France, Italy, Belgium, Netherlands and Luxembourg. Their statute as members of the EMU core has been defined in many studies, among which the comprehensive analysis conducted by Kappler (2008).

The employed data concern the period 1996-2010.

The variable used in order to determine the similarity of business cycles is ESI. This is monthly published by the European Commission for each of the 27 member countries. ESI is an indicator made up of more confidence indicators: indicators regarding industry, services, consumption, constructions and retail trade. The highest share is given to the indicator regarding industry (40%), followed by services (30%), consumption (20%), constructions and retail trade (each with a 5% share). These confidence indicators are computed as arithmetic averages, seasonally adjusted, of answers obtained following the survey questions. The surveys have been harmonized.

ESI is computed as an indicator with an average value of 100. The values above 100 point to an economic sentiment above average, and those below 100 point to an economic sentiment below average. As the European Commission
publishes only monthly series of this indicator, in order to obtain quarterly data, we have computed the arithmetic averages of the values for each country.

The method applied for dating business cycles is based on the definition of inflexion points according to the methodology proposed by Bry and Boschan (1971):

- maximum point at moment \( t = \{ y_{t-2} < y_t, \ y_{t-1} < y_t; y_t > y_{t+1}, \ y_t > y_{t+2} \}; \)
- minimum point at moment \( t = \{ y_{t-2} > y_t, \ y_{t-1} > y_t; y_t < y_{t+1}, \ y_t < y_{t+2} \}. \)

This methodology is also used by the National Bureau of Economic Research, which imposes more restrictions: the minimum duration of business cycles phases must be of six months, that is two quarters in case of quarterly data, and a complete cycle should have a minimum duration of 15 months, that is five quarters in case of quarterly data. If there is a situation in which two or more minimum or maximum points are very closed to each other, then we have chosen the lowest, respectively the highest among them.

After having dated the business cycles, in this stage of research, we have kept in the analysis only the amplitude. For each country included in the study we have computed an average amplitude, both for expansion and recession phases. Then, we have applied the hierarchical clusterization technique in the SPSS soft in order to identify the countries with similarities of business cycle characteristics during expansion and recession phases. By using the hierarchical clustering, we have been able to note very clearly the clusterization stages of countries and the size of the distances between them at every moment. In the Annexes 1 and 2 we have presented the corresponding dendograms for both economic expansion and recession phases.

After determining the final clusters, we have emphasized the countries within the EU-10 group that have joined countries in the Euro area core, having similar amplitudes of business cycles.

4. Findings of the paper

After having aggregated the monthly data of ESI, we can notice in the figure below the quarterly evolution for all the 16 European countries included in the study.

From the graphical analysis results that the average quarterly values of ESI have been varying between 75 and 115 points, with a visible dramatic decrease in 2009, as a consequence of the economic crisis. Among all the countries, Hungary and the Czech Republic stand out with visible divergent evolutions during certain periods of time.
Surprisingly, Romania is the country within EU-10 that has recorded a maximum quarterly value of ESI in Q2 1996, of 122.6 points, which points to an economic sentiment above average supported by the transition to the market economy and the progressive development of the private sector. According to the data of National Forecast Commission, the year 1996 has marked the moment when the private sector became a major sector that contributed to the GDP by 55%.

At the opposite side there is Hungary, which recorded a minim value of ESI in Q1 2009, of 61.7 points, that suggests an economic sentiment well below the average, due to the urgent liquidity needs of the country, despite the agreement concluded at the end of 2008 with the International Monetary Fund.

In order to complete the analysis, we dated the business cycles and computed the average amplitude during 1996 – 2010 for each country, on the one hand for the economic expansion phases, and on the other hand for the economic recession phases. The results obtained are synthetically presented in the table below:
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Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Periods of economic expansion</th>
<th>Periods of economic recession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>-15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>-16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>-17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>15.45</td>
<td>-19.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>-21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>-25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>16.26</td>
<td>-17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>18.67</td>
<td>-18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>-16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>-13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>-16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>-25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>-11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>-18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>-18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>-18.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ estimations.

The comparative analysis of the two groups, the Euro area core and EU-10, emphasizes that Poland and Luxembourg have recorded the highest amplitudes during expansion periods, but also the most significant decreases during economic recession periods.

Within the group of CEE countries, Romania and Latvia display similar developments, with low values of the amplitude during the economic cycle phases. As regards Romania, it can be noticed that it recorded the lowest average amplitude during economic expansion periods from 1996 to 2010, by comparison to the countries included in the analysis. Also, as regards economic recession phases, Romania recorded the lowest average amplitude. This data could seem inconsistent to the GDP data, given the fact that during 2004-2008 Romania recorded a high growth period, and during the economic crisis the downfall was serious, but the data presented here are averages for the period 1996-2010.

In order to provide a systematic view of the business cycle amplitude similarity from EU-10 countries with Euro area core, we employed the hierarchical clusterization technique. We report four clusters described in Table 2.
The structure of final clusters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of the cluster</th>
<th>Economic expansion</th>
<th>Economic recession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Countries in EU-10</td>
<td>Countries in the Euro area core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 1</td>
<td>Slovenia, Bulgaria</td>
<td>Belgium, France, Germany, Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 2</td>
<td>Poland, Czech Republic</td>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 3</td>
<td>Latvia, Romania</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 4</td>
<td>Estonia, Lithuania, Slovakia, Hungary</td>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ work.

The clusters highlight the lack of membership of Romania and Latvia to the Euro area core both during the economic expansion and recession phases. Hence, the average amplitude of business cycles in Romania and Latvia has remained different from that of the Euro area core, questioning the desirability of joining the Euro area in the near future.

Another important observation is that the different amplitudes of business cycles in the countries belonging to the Euro area core places them in different clusters, providing evidence for the absence of similarity of this characteristic even though all the six countries have a unique currency and monetary policy.

As regards the way of grouping of EU-10 countries with those belonging to Euro area core, there is a lack of stability taking into account the economic cycle phases. The only cluster that remains the same is that of Romania and Latvia, which is very distinct to the Euro area core.

The cluster which gathers the highest number of EU-10 countries is cluster number 1, corresponding to the economic recession phases. More exactly, the five countries Slovenia, Bulgaria, Hungary, Slovakia, and the Czech Republic display average amplitudes similar to Belgium, France and Italy in the recession periods.

5. Conclusions

In this stage of the research we have obtained a series of important results regarding the similarity of business cycle characteristics between Euro area and CEE countries.

The analysis reveals the fact that, during the analyzed period, the amplitude of business cycles in economic expansions and recessions groups EU-10 countries and Euro area countries in four different clusters, with a
unique, notable exception: Romania and Latvia. These countries form a distinct cluster during both expansion and recession phases.

Knowing that synchronization of business cycles is a necessary, but not sufficient condition for taking part in a monetary union, the study provides evidence that within the EU-10 group, the Euro area core, but also within these countries as a whole, there are still remarkable differences of business cycle characteristics, which may generate costs for the members of the monetary union.
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Annex 1 – Hierarchical clustering during expansion phases

Source: SPSS, authors’ work.

Annex 2 – Hierarchical clustering during recession phases

Source: SPSS, authors’ work.